

**Russian History Studies in Latin America and Spain (2001-2021)<sup>1</sup>**

The study of Russian history in Latin America and Spain is still poorly developed. However, over the last three decades, books and articles about the Eurasian region have begun to appear in Spanish, in most cases incorporating documents in the Russian language and including the latest publications in the international field. Although this interest in Russian history arose, in many cases, from political motivations—where the topic of the Russian Revolution was central—research soon turned towards other dimensions and chronologies, addressing cultural, economic and social issues not only about the 20<sup>th</sup>, but also the 19<sup>th</sup> century, and even a few centuries before that. Research development is not uniform throughout the region and depends on institutional contexts, which are not always stable, and do not always have the necessary monetary funds. However, some production centers such as Argentina, Chile, Mexico and Spain stand out in the field. The spatial distance from Latin America to Russia can be a detriment in terms of access to documentation and bibliography. But, at the same time, it is an advantage for these countries to distance themselves from the object of study and to propose other explanations that can complement or even challenge the dominant ones in order to make original contributions to the international field of study of Russian history.

*Keywords: Russian history, Spain, Latin America, Russian studies abroad, the Russian Revolution.*

Martín Baña - Adjunct Professor at the University of Buenos Aires (UBA) and the National University of San Martín (UNSAM). Adjunct Researcher at the National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET). E-mail: mbana@unsam.edu.ar

---

<sup>1</sup> Citation: MARTÍN BAÑA, “Russian History Studies in Latin America and Spain (2001-2021)”, *RussianStudiesHu* 4, no. 1 (2022): pp. 18. DOI: 10.38210/RUSTUDH.2022.4.4

## **Presentation**

The following article aims to present a very briefly commented list of the main studies on Russian history in Latin America and Spain from the last 20 years. Despite the spatial distance, the difficulty of accessing bibliography and primary sources, and the development of language needs—forcing researchers in these regions to handle both Russian and English, the latter being the primary language into which publications are usually translated from Russian and, at the same time, the *lingua franca* of international scientific community—for almost a century there has been a growing interest in the history of that region. This is evidenced by articles published in specialized journals, but also books, research trips and, more recently, bachelor's degrees and PhDs. What path have these studies followed over the last 20 years? More importantly, what are their purposes? And last, but not least, what is the point of studying Russian history in Latin America and Spain today?

A first response to these questions has to do with simply the continuity of the tradition of what we could call “International Studies” in the region. From very early on, Latin American historians were concerned with studying historical events that happened beyond their borders, especially those that were linked to European history, in all its periods. These efforts were realized in different works that, on the one hand, try to explain Latin American events within a broader framework such as the world system, seeing the links between these phenomena and those that happened in Latin America. On the other hand, historians devoted themselves entirely to the study of universal history in order to give their own description of events that were remote in time and space from Latin America itself. All these attempts today represent a vast body of works that help to understand global history in an increasingly connected world. If we take the Spanish case, links were closer at different times in the history of this country and Russia, as for example was evidenced during the 20<sup>th</sup> century with the case of the Spanish Civil War. In that sense, Russian studies are more closely linked to issues that are still being felt in the present.

A second response has to do precisely with the possibility of studying other experiences in order to establish comparisons, explanations, and conclusions that can help us to a better understanding of several historical phenomena. Due to its history and its positioning within the capitalist world-economy, Latin America shares similar characteristics and problems with other peripheral countries. The history of this condition has been studied in Latin America in order to improve the understanding of issues such as joining a world market as a producer of

primary products for export, or massive European immigration, just to cite a couple of examples. In the particular case of Russia, study of its historical experience could help us to a better understanding of several problems, since Latin American countries share some similarities with Russia such as the difficulties of industrialization in an underdeveloped country, or the transition towards a democratic political system. Development of this type of research, especially in relation to Russia, has yet to be done.

The international situation was a very special influence that kept the study of Russian history significant. The aggravation of the Cold War in the mid-1980s and the subsequent fall of the Soviet Union in 1991 forced Latin American researchers to pay attention to what Russian history could still contribute to the understanding of an already globalized world. Furthermore, the current repositioning of Russia as an actor that aspires to be taken into account in decision-making at the global level, and to intervene strongly in the world system—as demonstrated by vaccine diplomacy during the Covid-19 pandemic—shows the need for local specialists on the history of that country. In this sense, several institutions were developed to shelter not only research but also teaching positions in Russian history, although the institutional contexts of the region vary according to the country in which the focus is placed.

In this sense, the case of Argentina is emblematic. In this country, the Faculty of Philosophy of the University of Buenos Aires, one of the largest institutions dealing with social sciences and humanistic studies in the country and Latin America, was part of the process of democratization in 1984, after the military government was defeated. As a result, many fields of study were modified, among them, History. The new subjects sought to update the content they taught to students, echoing changes introduced in the main historiographies of international scholarship. Within this framework, the creation of a Chair of Russian History was proposed, which was the first chair in any of the country's universities and one of the few—if not the only one at that moment—in Latin America which dedicated itself to the scientific study and teaching of Russian history. At this moment, this Chair is in my charge, and it has a staff of 4 teachers and researchers in the field. In turn, the Center for Study of the Slavic Worlds (CEMECH) was founded in 2009 as a dependent institution of the School of Humanities of the National University of San Martín (UNSAM). CEMECH is a research center entirely dedicated to the Russian and Eurasian space. There, postgraduate courses are offered for doctoral students, research is carried out, and human resources are trained on the

subject, both for academic institutions, and for civil and governmental institutions. The center also has a specialized library about Russia, the only one in Argentina and one of the few in Latin America, with the majority of books and documentary sources in the Russian language. Currently the Center is directed by Dr. Claudio Ingerflom, and since the beginning of its activities CEMECH has established contacts with research centers and researchers in Russia, receiving some of them in its facilities to give lectures and engage in discussion of them.

Two more institutions are part of the Argentine network of institutions that address the history of Russia in some way. One is the Russian Chair of the Institute of International Relations of the National University of La Plata, the other the Rosario Study Group on Russia (GERR), which depends on the Faculty of Political Science and International Relations of the National University of Rosario (UNR). The latter seeks to assert itself, as it maintains on its web page, “como un espacio de investigación y participación que contribuya a la reflexión y el debate sobre temas de actualidad respecto de Rusia, cuestiones que impactan de manera directa o indirecta en el escenario internacional” [as a space for research and participation that contributes to reflection and debate on current issues regarding Russia, issues that directly or indirectly impact on the international scene].<sup>2</sup> We take the Argentine case because it is emblematic of the situation of Russian studies in Latin America and Spain. In the rest of the countries, the situation is a little more uneven, but efforts to investigate the history of Russia continue to be made in different institutional contexts and with diverse results.

Before we continue to the article, we must make some important clarifications. In carrying out this weighing-up of the production of Latin America and Spain on Russian history during the last 20 years, only scientific works published by researchers from the region—whose institutions are located there—were taken into account, regardless of whether their publications appeared in Spanish or in other languages such as English or Russian. It is also worth clarifying that only books and articles published in scientific journals were taken into account, as undergraduate and graduate theses have been set aside, as well as the abundant production of public history and presentations to congresses or conferences, both national and international. In this sense, although scrutiny of the publications was as exhaustive as possible, it is a vast object of study. As not all countries have the same degree of development of Russian studies or the same opportunity to publish, it is possible that some works may have

---

<sup>2</sup> “3.b. Grupo de Estudio sobre Rusia de Rosario (GEJR)” *Rep Hip Universidad Nacional de Rosario* <https://rephip.unr.edu.ar/handle/2133/13066> (Accessed December 10, 2021).

been left unreviewed and, for that very reason, not covered by this article. We must also clarify here that only works related to history were taken into account. Other publications that have been working very well in relation to Russia, such as literature, were set aside. The list is divided into chronological periods: Ancient and Modern Russia, the 19<sup>th</sup> century, and the 20<sup>th</sup> century and later. Within each section, the publications were grouped following an alphabetical order of the authors and, when there was more than one publication per author, following the chronological order of publication.

### **Ancient Russia and Modern Russia**

Works on this historical period are really scarce, and the works of Claudio Ingerflom, Director of the Center for Slavic Studies (CEMECH) in Argentina, stand out above all, linked to two central questions within Russian history: the place of “autonomination” [*samozyvanchestvo*] in Russian political history and the difficulties of applying the Western concept of “state” instead of *gosudarstvo* to Russian realities prior to the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Both topics were addressed in different articles and books, both in Spanish and other languages such as French, Russian, and English. For the rest, two publications by Mexican researchers that address issues of political history stand out. Below, please find the list of works published on Ancient and Modern Russia in the field of Russian studies in Latin America and Spain over the last 20 years:

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Entre el *mythos* y el *logos*: la acción. Nacimiento de la representación política del poder en Rusia”, *Prismas, Revista de historia intelectual* no. 5 (2001): 35-56.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Sobre el concepto de Estado en la historia de Rusia”, *Historia Contemporánea* I, no. 28 (2004): 53-60.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Lealtad al Estado o lealtad al Monarca. Los juramentos de Fidelidad en el siglo XVIII a la luz de la historia conceptual. El caso ruso”, *Prohistoria* XIII (2009): 147-165.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Was there Loyalty to the State under Peter the Great?”, in *Loyalties, Solidarities, and Identities in Russian Society, History and Culture*, ed. PHILIP BULLOCK, ANDY BYFORD, CLAUDIO INGERFLOM et al. (London: University College London, 2009), 3-19.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Novoevropéiskaia paradigma gosudarstvennosti”, *Rossii* XXI, no. 2 (2011): 110-127.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “How Old Magic Does the Trick for Modern Politics”, *Russian History* 40, no. 3-4 (2013): 428-450.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Theoretical Premises and Cognitive Distortions from the Uncritical Use of the Concept of ‘State’: The ‘Russian’ Case”, in *Serve the Power(s), Serve the State. America and Eurasia*, ed. JUAN CARLOS GARAVAGLIA, MICHAEL J. BRADDICK, CHRISTIAN LAMOUREUX (Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishers, 2013), 222-243.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “La historia conceptual y las distorsiones cognitivas del uso acrítico del concepto ‘Estado’”, *Prohistoria* no. 28 (2017): 25-43.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, *Le Tsar c’est moi. L’imposture permanente. D’Ivan le Terrible à Vladimire Poutine* (Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 2015).

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, *El zar soy yo. La impostura permanente de Iván el Terrible a Vladímir Putin* (Madrid: Guillermo Escolar Editor, 2017).

JEAN MEYER, *El Papa de Iván el Terrible. Entre Rusia y Polonia (1581-1582)* (Mexico; Fondo de Cultura Económica, 2003).

RAINER MATOS FRANCO, *Historia mínima de Rusia* (Mexico: El Colegio de México Turner, 2017).

## **The 19<sup>th</sup> Century**

The publications that address 19<sup>th</sup> century issues are a bit broader and include more authors than those mentioned in the previous section. In this sense, the works of Argentine historian Ezequiel Adamovsky regarding the construction of the image of Russia in France and many others related to the Russian national identity, and my own works about the Russian *intelligentsia* and the links between music, society and politics stand out. Another line of my research, linked to one of the intellectual currents of the philosophical history of Russia born at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, such as Russian Cosmism, is also listed. Other publications by the aforementioned Ingerflom also appear, linked to the study of the Russian roots of Leninism, and also by the aforementioned Meyer, on the “empires of Russia”. We can add here a work on cultural history by Chilean researcher Olga Ulianova regarding Anton Chekhov, two works about Russian international hegemony by the Peruvian researcher Javier Alcalde Cardoza, and a work focusing on Zasluch’s critique of “neopopulism”, by Argentine historians Daniel Gaido and Constanza Boch Alessio. Below, please find the list of works published on 19<sup>th</sup>-century Russia in the field of Russian studies in Latin America and Spain over the last 20 years:

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Civilizar un Pueblo Bárbaro: Las imágenes de Rusia en el debate de la Ilustración francesa acerca del concepto de ‘civilización’”, *Anales de Historia Antigua, Medieval y Moderna* no. 34 (2001): 163-190.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Russia as a Space of Hope: Nineteenth-Century French Challenges to the Liberal Image of Russia,” *European History Quarterly* no. 4, (2003): 411-50.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Russia as the Land of Communism in the Nineteenth Century? Images of Tsarist Russia as a Communist Society in France, c. 1840-1880,” *Cahiers du monde russe* no. 3-4, (2004): 497-520.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Euro-Orientalism and the Making of the Concept of Eastern Europe in France, 1810-1880”, *The Journal of Modern History* no. 77 (2005): 591-628.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, *Euro-Orientalism: Liberal Ideology and the Image of Russia in France, c. 1740-1880* (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2006).

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Russian Culture under the French Eyes: Stigma, Civilization and Violence”, in *La Russie et le monde francophone*, ed. DOUGLAS CLAYTON (Ottawa: Université d’Ottawa, 2007), 115-137.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “El paralelo Rusia/Estados Unidos en Francia y la formación de una identidad ‘occidental’”, *Prismas* no. 13 (2009): 17-32.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Before Development Economics: Western Political Economy, the ‘Russian Case’, and the First Perceptions of Economic Backwardness (From the 1760s until the Mid-Nineteenth Century)”, *Journal of the History of Economic Thought* 32, no. 3 (2010): 349-376.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “Pierre Bezukhov Becomes (Really) Russian: Some Issues of National Identity in Tolstoy’s Narrative and Life Experience”, *Fragmentos - Revista de Língua e Literatura Estrangeiras* no. 38 (2013): 59-69.

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, “N.F. Danielsón en la historia del pensamiento político ruso: los comienzos olvidados de una reflexión marxista sobre el atraso económico”, *Contra Tiempos* no. 1 (2015): 7-36.

JAVIER ALCALDE CARDOZA, *De Pedro el Grande a Putin: un ensayo sobre la búsqueda rusa de dominio y hegemonía* (Lima: Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, 2015).

JAVIER ALCALDE CARDOZA, “De la Santa Alianza a Putin: dos siglos de tentativas hegemónicas de Rusia”, *Agenda Internacional* 22, no. 33 (2015): 19-54.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Modest Musorgsky frente a los desafíos de la modernidad: *Boris Godunov* como crítica social y política,” *Revista del Instituto Superior de Música* no. 12 (2008): 128-145.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “La ópera rusa del siglo XIX en perspectiva. Un balance sobre la historiografía musicológica”, in *Actas de la Séptima Semana de la Música y la Musicología: Jornadas Interdisciplinarias de Investigación La Ópera, Palabra y Música*, ed. DIANA FERNÁNDEZ CALVO, SOFÍA M. CARRIZO (Buenos Aires: Educa, 2010), 159-181.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “El pasado en el presente. Las representaciones sobre la acción de Pedro el Grande y las tareas de la modernización cultural rusa en *Khovanshchina* de Modest Musorgsky y Nikolay Rimsky-Korsakov”, *Revista del Instituto de Investigación Musicológica “Carlos Vega”* XXVII, no. 27 (2013): 17-55.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Ópera, política y modernidad. Iván el Terrible y la narración del pasado ruso en *Pskovityanka* de Nikolay Rimsky-Korsakov”, *Políticas de la Memoria. Anuario de Investigación e Información del CeDInCI* no. 14 (2014): 198-206.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “*Intelligentsia* y modernidad en la música rusa del siglo XIX. El *kruzhok* de Mily Balakirev, Modest Musorgsky y la relación de Rusia con Europa”, *Revista del Instituto de Investigación Musicológica “Carlos Vega”* XXVIII, no. 28 (2014): 17-54.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Apogeo y declive de la *intelligentsia* rusa. Entre el trabajo intelectual y el deber moral”, *Nueva Sociedad* no. 253 (2014): 199-209.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Una modernidad musical transnacional. Los límites de la categoría de escuela nacional para el caso de la música rusa del siglo XIX”, *El oído pensante* 4, no. 1 (2016): 1-18.

MARTÍN BAÑA, *Una intelligentsia musical. Modernidad, política e historia de Rusia en las óperas de Musorgsky y Rimsky-Korsakov (1856-1883)* (Buenos Aires: Gourmet Musical, 2017).

MARTÍN BAÑA, ALEJANDRO GALLIANO, “Prólogo. La muerte es un lujo innecesario: del cosmismo ruso al transhumanismo universal”, in *Cosmismo ruso. Tecnologías de la inmortalidad antes y después de la Revolución de Octubre*, ed. BORIS GROYS (Buenos Aires: Caja Negra, 2021), 27-50.

DANIEL GAIDO, CONSTANZA BOSCH ALESSIO, “Vera Zasulich's Critique of Neo-Populism: Party Organisation and Individual Terrorism in the Russian Revolutionary Movement (1878-1902)”, *Historical Materialism* no. 23 (2015): 93-125.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, *El revolucionario profesional. La construcción política del pueblo* (Rosario: Prohistoria, 2017).



JEAN MEYER, *Rusia y sus imperios (1894-2005)* (Madrid: Tusquets, 2007).

OLGA ULIANOVA, *Un Chejov desconocido* (Santiago de Chile: Editorial RIL, 2000).

## **The 20<sup>th</sup> Century and Beyond**

There is a broader range of scientific works on Russian history in Latin America and Spain when we come to the 20<sup>th</sup> century. In these publications a clear preference can be observed: the central topic for Russian—and World—history is the Russian Revolution. The approach is multiple: the Russian Revolution has not only been studied as an event that occurred in Russian territory, but also as a phenomenon that spread across the world. In that sense, research has intended to study the multiple receptions and influences that this episode exerted on the different Latin American countries and Spain. That is why this section presents, first, publications related to this revolutionary event, which were multiplied by the effect of its centennial, celebrated in 2017. Second, it presents publications related to the history of the Soviet Union and the post-Soviet space. In that section, a variety of approaches and thematic issues are revealed, especially two outstanding ones: on the one hand, those related to the politics of the Communist Party and its possible connections with the Latin American and Spanish spaces, and on the other, questions related to the cultural history of the Soviet Union.

### *The Russian Revolution*

In the case of the Russian Revolution, the works published and reviewed here show a clear tendency: to propose historiographical and theoretical reflections on that event and its projection onto the societies of the present, not only the Russian one but also Latin American and Spanish ones. These publications attempt to offer interpretative syntheses of the historical process that try to overcome the main historiographical views: both those that condemn the Revolution, proposed by a historiography inspired by the postulates of Liberalism, and those that celebrate it, inspired by more traditional Marxism. By contrast, these interpretative syntheses attempt to recover the multiplicity of voices that participated in the Russian Revolution and the multiplicity of causes and dimensions that were part of it: in addition to politics, dimensions that have to do with culture and the arts, everyday life, gender issues and a revision of the coordinates of space and time to frame the event. In this way, these works not only seek to be part of the outstanding historiographical regeneration that could be observed in recent years regarding this issue,<sup>3</sup> but they also try to contribute to making a topic

---

<sup>3</sup> See, for example, the dossier published in *Kritika: Explorations on Russian and Eurasian History* 16, (2015): 4. In the Russian language, the works of Aleksandr Shubin can be explored. For instance, *Velikaya Rossiyskaya*

that had been characterized by reductionist explanations and traversed—for a very long time—by ideological and political interests into a more complex object of study.

Among other productions that revolve around the Russian Revolution, stand out those that try to analyze and explain the impact that the event generated in the different regions of the continent, such as Argentina and Chile, and also those that seek to give an account of some particular dimensions, such as the performance of Anarchism during the Revolution or the role played by Factory Committees. Below, please find the list of works published on the Russian Revolution in the field of Russian studies in Latin America and Spain over the last 20 years:

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, MARTÍN BAÑA, PABLO FONTANA (comps.), *Octubre Rojo. La Revolución rusa noventa años después* (Buenos Aires: Libros del Rojas, 2008).

JUAN ANDRADE, FERNANDO HERNÁNDEZ SÁNCHEZ (eds.), *1917. La Revolución rusa cien años después* (Madrid: Akal, 2017).

SANTIAGO ARÁNGUIZ PINTO, *Chile, la Rusia de América: la revolución Bolchevique y el mundo obrero socialista-comunista Chileno (1917-1927)* (Santiago de Chile: Ediciones Centro de Estudios Bicentenario, 2019).

MARTÍN BAÑA, ROMINA VELIZ, LUCIANO ZDROJEWSKI, PABLO CROTÉS, ANA GUERRA, EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, ALDO CHIARAVIGLIO, *Tiempo de Insurgencia: experiencias comunistas en la Revolución rusa*, editado como folleto (Buenos Aires: edición de los autores, 2006).

MARTÍN BAÑA, PABLO STEFANONI, *Todo lo que necesitás saber sobre la Revolución rusa* (Buenos Aires: Paidós, 2017).

MARTÍN BAÑA, “La Revolución rusa: imágenes en montaje”, in *Octubre rojo. La Revolución rusa noventa años después*, ed. EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, MARTÍN BAÑA, PABLO FONTANA (Buenos Aires: Libros del Rojas, 2008), 129-158.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “La Revolución Rusa en su Centenario. Perspectivas temáticas y narrativas historiográficas”, *Políticas de la Memoria. Anuario de Investigación e Información del CeDInCI* no. 17 (2017): 224-237.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Como narrar a história da Revolução Russa no seu centenário?”, *Estudos Avancados* no. 31 (2017): 55-66.

---

*Revolyutsya: ot febralya k oktyabryu 1917 goda* (Moscow: Rodina-Media, 2014); *Start Strany Sovietov. Revolyutsya. Oktyabr 1917* (St.Petersburg: Piter, 2017).

HERNÁN CAMARERO, *Tiempos rojos: el impacto de la Revolución rusa en la Argentina* (Buenos Aires: Sudamericana, 2017).

JULIÁN CASANOVA, *La venganza de los siervos. Rusia 1917* (Barcelona: Crítica, 2017).

JOSÉ M. FARALDO, *La Revolución rusa: Historia y memoria* (Madrid: Alianza Editorial, 2017).

AGUSTÍN GUILLAMÓN, *La revolución Rusa: una interpretación crítica y libertaria* (Santiago de Chile: Pensamiento y Batalla, 2017).

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “Cómo pensar los cambios sin utilizar las categorías de ruptura y continuidad. Un enfoque hermenéutico de la revolución de 1917 a la luz de la historia de los conceptos”, *Res Publica. Revista de filosofía política* no. 16 (2006): 129-152.

ARMANDO ROA VIDAL (comp.), *Rusia 1917: la utopía de la hoz y el martillo* (Santiago de Chile: RIL Editores, 2017).

ANDRÉS RUGGERI, *Control obrero, autogestión y consejos en la Revolución rusa* (Bogotá: Desde Abajo, 2021).

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, “La Revolución rusa y España: una doble vertiente historiográfica”, *Índice Histórico Español* no. 130 (2018): 39-69.

JORGE SABORIDO, *1917: la revolución rusa cien años después. Historia e interpretaciones del acontecimiento más importante del siglo XX* (Buenos Aires: Eudeba, 2017).

CARLOS TAIBO, *Anarquismo y Revolución en Rusia 1917-1921* (Madrid: Catarata, 2017).

### *Soviet History and Beyond*

The rest of the works that are framed within the 20<sup>th</sup> century are broader and more diverse in terms of their topics and objects of study. A prominent theme has to do with studies on migration and publications of the Russian community in countries such as Argentina. Also noteworthy are works that investigate the links between the Communist Party of the Soviet Union and local communist parties, especially in the case of Argentina, Chile and Spain. Undoubtedly, an outstanding aspect of these productions is the one that tries to incorporate artistic disciplines—such as cinema, photography and music—into historical analysis, especially in relation to the Russian avant-garde and Socialist Realism. Research also included questions related to political and economic history, particularly in relation to the years previous to the Russian Revolution and the first years of the Bolshevik government. Finally, works that attempt to take a global approach to Soviet history are highlighted, as well

as those that focus on the recent past and that attempt to carry out a preliminary analysis of post-Soviet Russia. Below, please find the list of works published on 20<sup>th</sup>-century Russia in the field of Russian studies in Latin America and Spain over the last 20 years:

EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, MARÍA KOUBLITSKAIA, “Publicaciones de la colectividad rusa en Argentina: un inventario crítico”, *Estudios Migratorios Latinoamericanos* (2013): 51-96.

MARÍA VICTORIA ÁLVAREZ, MARÍA ROCÍO NOVELLO (eds.), *La Federación Rusa y el Covid-19. ¿Oportunidad o crisis?* (Rosario: Universidad Nacional de Rosario, 2020).

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Kratkii obzor izuchenia istorii Rossii v Argentine”, *Latinskaya Amerika* no. 6 (2011): 62-67.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “La ética y el arte como superación de las relaciones deformadas de capitalismo tardío. A Lunacharsky, A. Scriabin y el problema de la herencia artística burguesa en la Rusia revolucionaria”, *Anuario del Centro de Estudios Históricos “Prof. Carlos S. A. Segreti”* 11, no. 11 (2011): 173-187.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Kompositor kak intelligent i opera kak alternativnoe povestvovanie o pervikh godakh russkoy revoliutsy v epokhu stalinisma. O Semyone Kotke S. Prokofieva”, *Noveishaya Istorya Rossii* 8, no. 3 (2013): 187-200.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Un pasado ruso para el presente soviético. La cantata *Alexander Nevsky* de Sergey Prokofiev en perspectiva”, *Música e Investigación, Revista anual del Instituto Nacional de Musicología “Carlos Vega”* no. 24 (2016): 51-72.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Sergey Prokofiev en la Unión Soviética. Una revisión historiográfica y musicológica”, *Revista del Instituto de Investigación Musicológica “Carlos Vega”* no. 24 (2016): 57-83.

MARTÍN BAÑA, “Una Revolución en el campo musical. Sobre los inicios de una musicología en la Rusia revolucionaria (1917-1922)”, *Conflicto Social* 10, no. 17 (2017): 58-78.

MARTÍN BAÑA, *Quien no extraña al comunismo no tiene corazón. De la disolución de la Unión Soviética a la Rusia de Putin* (Buenos Aires: Critica, 2021).

MARTÍN BAÑA, “¿Quién extraña el comunismo? Rusia a 30 años de la disolución de la Unión Soviética”, *Revista Nueva Sociedad* no. 296 (2021):145-155

JAIME CANALES GARRIDO, *Génesis, vida y destrucción de la Unión Soviética* (Buenos Aires: Cienflores Editorial, 2019).

MARTIN ALEJANDRO DUER, “Convergencias contradictorias. Proletariado industrial y concepción programática bolchevique entre 1917 y 1929”, *Archivos de historia del movimiento obrero y la izquierda* no. 17 (2020): 163-183.

MARTIN ALEJANDRO DUER, “La dimensión programática de *El Estado y la Revolución de Lenin*”, *Hic Rhodus* 11, no. 20 (2021): 31-46.

MARTIN ALEJANDRO DUER, “Observaciones en torno a los fundamentos teóricos del proyecto bolchevique de transición al socialismo”, *Anuario del Centro de Estudios Históricos “Prof. Carlos S. A. Segreti”* 1, no. 21 (2021): 50-71.

EVGUENIA FEDIAKOVA, MANUEL LOYOLA, JORGE ROJAS FLORES, “Rusia soviética en el imaginario político chileno, 1917-1939”, in *Por un rojo amanecer. Hacia una historia de los comunistas chilenos*, ed. JORGE ROJAS FLORES, MANUEL LOYOLA (Santiago de Chile: Impresora Vals, 2000).

RENATA FINELLI, “La fotografía soviética como índice de la Revolución (1921-1929)”, *Boletín de Estética del Centro de Investigaciones Filosóficas* no. 43 (2018): 43-70.

RENATA FINELLI, “La fotografía como el nuevo lenguaje visual de la Rusia revolucionaria. El caso del grupo LEF (1923-1928)”, *Revista Astrolabio. Nueva Época* no. 20 (2018): 91-109.

RENATA FINELLI, “La fotografía rusa durante la NEP, un campo experimental y revolucionario (1921-1928)”, *RUS, Revista de literatura y cultura rusa* 11, no. 15 (2020): 5-39.

PABLO FONTANA, “Buscando los límites de la representación cinematográfica de octubre en su décimo aniversario”, in *Octubre rojo: La Revolución Rusa noventa años después*, ed. EZEQUIEL ADAMOVSKY, MARTÍN BAÑA, PABLO FONTANA (Buenos Aires: Libros del Rojas, 2008), 159-178.

PABLO FONTANA, *Cine y colectivización: La representación cinematográfica del proceso de colectivización soviético* (Ciudad Evita: Zeit, 2012).

PABLO FONTANA, “Fascismo ordinario entre el Deshielo y la Guerra Fría: Mijaíl Romm y el surgimiento del documental moderno en la URSS”, *Pasado por-venir* 7, no. 8 (2013): 129-154.

PABLO FONTANA, “Cine y colectivización. Imágenes para un orden nuevo en los campos soviéticos (1929-1941)”, *Políticas de la Memoria. Anuario de Investigación e Información del CeDInCI* no. 16 (2016): 245-252.

PABLO FONTANA, “Los fantasmas de Iván: Memoria traumática sobre el exterminio nacional-socialista en el cine soviético del Deshielo”, *Aletheia* 8, no. 17 (2017):

DANIEL GAIDO, CONSTANZA ALESSIO BOSCH, “The Terrorist Tendency in Russia”, *Historical Materialism* no. 23 (2015): 126-147.

DANIEL GAIDO, MAXIMILIANO JOZAMI, “El grupo Iskra y el ‘modelo leninista’ de organización partidaria: Un debate en el seno de la socialdemocracia rusa”, *Revista Izquierdas* no. 35 (2017): 318-369.

DANIEL GAIDO, CARLOS MIGNON, “La burocracia sindical en la primera revolución rusa: De los ‘sindicatos policiales’ de Zubatov a la Asamblea de Gapon”, *Astrolabio* no. 20 (2018): 1-46

DANIEL GAIDO, KEVIN MURPHY, “De la dictadura democrática a la dictadura del proletariado: El debate en el Partido Bolchevique sobre las *Tesis de Abril* de Lenin”, *Revista de Filosofía de la Universidad de Costa Rica* no. 57 (2019): 69-95.

CLAUDIO INGERFLOM, “El desafío de la ‘no-Europa’ a la historia conceptual”, *Scienza & Política* XXX, no. 58 (2018): 195-219.

OLGA LEPIJINA, *Naciones y hegemonías en el espacio postsoviético (1991-2008): el peso de la historia y la política de Estado Unidos* (Santiago de Chile: RIL Editores, 2012).

MÁXIMO LIRA, *El fin de la URSS: crisis, transformación y perspectivas de los pueblos pos-soviéticos* (Santiago de Chile: Ediciones B, 2005).

MANUEL LOYOLA, “La Asociación de Amigos de la Unión Soviética en Chile, 1927-1943”, *Estudios Ibero Americanos* 42, no. 1 (2016): 308-326.

MANUEL LOYOLA, “La función de lo soviético en Chile. La actuación editorial del migrante ucraniano Boris Orjikh”, *Cuadernos de Historia* no. 53 (2020): 145-166.

RAINER MATOS FRANCO, *Limbo rojizos. La nostalgia por el socialismo en Rusia y en el mundo poscomunista* (Mexico: El Colegio de México, 2017).

VÍCTOR AUGUSTO PIEMONTE, “Inmigrantes rusos y cultura política euroasiática en Argentina. La revista Tierra Rusa, 1941-1943”, *Anuario de la Escuela de Historia Virtual* no. 10 (2016): 24-45.

VÍCTOR AUGUSTO PIEMONTE, “Antibolcheviques peronistas: los inmigrantes rusos de la Unión Suvorov a través de su prensa”, *Revista Cambios y Permanencias* no. 10 (2019): 247-270.

VÍCTOR AUGUSTO PIEMONTE, “Los anarquistas rusos de Golos Truda a mediados de los años ’20”, *Sociohistórica* no. 48 (2021): 1-13.

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, “El triángulo de la propaganda soviética en la Cataluña de la Guerra Civil”, *Alcores: revista de historia contemporánea* no. 14 (2012): 169-189.

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, “Los pasos de la diplomacia soviética para establecer el consulado de la URSS en Barcelona”, *Ayer* no. 86 (2012): 169-195.

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, *Falsa leyenda del Kremlin: El consulado y la URSS en la Guerra Civil Española* (Madrid: Historia Biblioteca Nueva, 2014).

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, “An Intimate Diplomatic View: The Spanish Civil War According to the Personal Diaries of the Soviet Consul Vladimir Antonov-Ovseenko”, *International Journal of Siberian Studies* 29, no. 1 (2016): 21-36.

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, “Claves sobre la presencia militar y diplomática soviética. El Kremlin nunca quiso dominar España”, in *En el combate por la historia. La República, la Guerra Civil, el franquismo*, ed. ÁNGEL VIÑAS MARTIN (Lisboa: Pasado y Presente, 2020), 235-249.

JOSEP PUIGSECH FARRÁS, “Dos variantes del impacto de la Revolución Rusa en Cataluña de 1936”, in *Socialismo, sindicalismo, antifascismo: ensayos sobre la crisis del siglo XX*, ed. FERNANDO JOSÉ GALLEGRO MARGALEFF (Madrid: El viejo topo, 2019), 189-210.

DIEGO REPPENING LÓPEZ, “La Unión Soviética, la Guerra Fría y el Asia Pacífico: una historia colonial e imperial”, in *Asia y el Pacífico durante la Guerra Fría*, ed. PEDRO IACOBELLI D., ROBERT CRIBB, JOSÉ LUIS PERELLÓ E. (Santiago de Chile: Fondo de Cultura Económica, 2017), 119-134.

JORGE SANTIAGO ROJAS, “Putin: 20 años en el poder”, Documento de trabajo, Grupo de Estudios sobre Rusia de Rosario (Rosario: Universidad Nacional de Rosario, 2020).

JORGE ROJAS FLORES, MANUEL LOYOLA (eds.), *Por un rojo amanecer. Hacia una historia de los comunistas chilenos* (Santiago de Chile: Impresora Vals, 2000).

JORGE SABORIDO, *Historia de la Unión Soviética* (Buenos Aires: Emecé, 2009).

JORGE SABORIDO, *Rusia: veinte años sin comunismo. De Gorbachov a Putin* (Buenos Aires: Biblos, 2011).

CARLOS TAIBO, *El conflicto de Chechenia* (Madrid: Los libros de la Catarata, 2005).

CARLOS TAIBO, *Rusia en la era Putin* (Madrid: Los libros de la Catarata, 2006).

CARLOS TAIBO, *Rusia frente a Ucrania. Imperios, pueblos energía* (Madrid: Los libros de la Catarata, 2014).

CARLOS TAIBO, *La Rusia contemporánea y el mundo. Entre la rusofobia y la rusofilia* (Madrid: Los libros de la Catarata, 2017).

OLGA ULIANOVA, CARMEN NORABUENA (eds.), *Viajeros rusos al sur del mundo* (Santiago de Chile: Dirección de Bibliotecas, Archivos y Museos, LOM Ediciones, 2000).

OLGA ULIANOVA, “El caso de Manuel Hidalgo en el PC chileno a partir de los documentos de Komintern”, in *Por un rojo amanecer. Hacia una historia de los comunistas chilenos*, ed. JORGE ROJAS FLORES, MANUEL LOYOLA (Santiago de Chile: Impresora Vals, 2000).

OLGA ULIANOVA, “La Unidad Popular y el golpe militar en Chile: percepciones y análisis soviéticos”, *Estudios Públicos* no. 79 (2000): 83-171.

OLGA ULIANOVA, “La URSS y los países árabes durante la Guerra Fría”, *Cyber Humanitatis* no. 14 (2002).

OLGA ULIANOVA, ALFREDO RIQUELME SEGOVIA (eds.), *Chile en los archivos soviéticos 1922-1991. Tomo 1: Komintern y Chile 1922-1931* (Santiago de Chile: Dirección de Bibliotecas, Archivos y Museos, LOM Ediciones, 2005).

OLGA ULIANOVA, “Develando un mito: emisarios de la Internacional comunista en Chile”, *Historia I*, no. 41 (2008): 99-164.

OLGA ULIANOVA, ALFREDO RIQUELME (eds.), *Chile en los archivos soviéticos 1922-1991. Tomo 2, Komintern y Chile 1931-1935* (Santiago de Chile: Dirección de Bibliotecas, Archivos y Museos, LOM Ediciones, 2009).

OLGA ULIANOVA, ALFREDO RIQUELME (eds.), *Chile en los archivos soviéticos 1922-1991. Tomo 3, Komintern y Chile 1935-1941, Comunismo, antifascismo y frente popular* (Santiago de Chile: Dirección de Bibliotecas, Archivos y Museos, LOM Ediciones, 2017).

OLGA ULIANOVA (ed.), *Chile en los archivos soviéticos, Tomo 4, Los años '60* (Santiago de Chile: Ariadna ediciones, 2020).

## **Final words**

Despite clear and good progress, research on Russian history in Latin America and Spain remains at a low level compared to the rest of the historiographies of the global north. The reasons are diverse. In the first place, the largest research positions and the scarce resources allocated to finance research are destined for history issues linked to each of the countries of origin, instead of European history, for example. In the second place, the difficulties that are generated by language differences and physical distance prevent direct contact with archives and libraries. Finally, the remarkable interest focused by local historians on the historical problems of each of their countries of origin, among many other factors.

Despite this, we believe that studies on Russian history are necessary and should continue to be deepened in the region. We believe this for several reasons: firstly, because a good



historiography needs to develop works that could not only be linked to its own countries but to the space that countries inhabit, which is the world. Secondly, because having local researchers who can produce works about Russia would help to develop a better understanding of the history and reality of that country, without having intermediaries or obstacles—such as idiomatic issues. This, in turn, would allow historians that are specialized in other areas, but also interested in such matters, to have access to research results. Finally, studies on Russia developed in Latin America and Spain can be essential not only for these countries but also for Russia itself. As the philosopher Mikhail Bakhtin argued, a fundamental element for the understanding of any culture is the need to place oneself outside of it, in a place of “extraposition” to creatively understand that culture, since the true appearance of people “solo la pueden ver y comprender las otras personas, gracias a su ubicación extrapuesta en el espacio y gracias al hecho de ser *otros*” [can only be seen and understood by other people, thanks to their extraposed location in space and thanks to the fact of their being *others*].<sup>4</sup> In this sense, Bakhtin argues, “extraposición viene a ser el instrumento más poderoso para la comprensión” [extraposition becomes the most powerful instrument for understanding] since “la cultura ajena se manifiesta más completa y profundamente solo a los ojos de *otra* cultura” [foreign culture manifests itself more fully and profoundly only in the eyes of another culture].<sup>5</sup> Hence, this dialogical encounter can help to overcome the closed nature of cultures so that, through questions, interpretations and above all dialogue, they can enrich each other. In this sense, the interpretations and observations that are made in Latin America and Spain in relation to the history of Russia can help us not only to have a better understanding of the Russian history but also benefit Russia, as that country can have a better understanding of itself through interventions made from other cultures, such interventions thereby completing it in a Bakhtinian way.

In this way, we hope that these last 20 years of research and production can be considered not as a point of arrival for studies about Russian history from Latin America and Spain, but merely as a starting point for current researchers and for future generations who will continue developing and expanding a field of study that is important not only to the region but also to the world as a whole.

---

<sup>4</sup> MIJAL M. BAJTÍN, “Respuesta a la pregunta hecha por la Revista *Novy Mir*”, in MIJAL M. BAJTÍN, *Estética de la creación verbal* (Buenos Aires: Siglo XXI, 2002), 352.

<sup>5</sup> BAJTÍN, “Respuesta a la pregunta...”, 352.

## References

MIJAL M. BAJTÍN, “Respuesta a la pregunta hecha por la Revista *Novy Mir*” [Answer to the Question Asked by the *Novy Mir* Magazine], in MIJAL M. BAJTÍN, *Estética de la creación verbal* (Buenos Aires: Siglo XXI, 2002), 352.

*Kritika: Explorations on Russian and Eurasian History* 16, no. 4 (2015)

ALEKSANDR SHUBIN, *Velikaya Rossiyskaya Revolyutsya: ot febralya k oktyabryu 1917 goda* [The Great Russian Revolution: from February to October 1917] (Moscow: Rodina-Media, 2014).

ALEKSANDR SHUBIN, *Start Strany Sovietov. Revolyutsya. Oktyabr 1917* [Start of the State of the Soviets. Revolution. October 1917] (St.Petersburg: Piter, 2017).